Science For Peace

Chapter Ten

Based on the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory

Adrian G. Fernandez

adrianferxxv@gmail.com

Quilmes AstroClub, Buenos Aires, Argentina

March 2026

Abstract

The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory (TTC v3.2) reaches its final self-consistent formulation in this concluding chapter. We consolidate the minimal ontological postulate—a single real scalar field ϕ_e (etherion superfluid) constrained to a topological sector defined by linking numbers $L_{123} = 1/2$ and $L_{12} = 1/2$—and derive the complete set of fundamental equations, parameters, and emergent phenomena across particle physics, gravitation, cosmology, and engineering applications. The predictive framework for 2026–2040 is presented with falsifiable tests for Starship-enabled missions, LISA, CMB-S4, DUNE, IAXO, and quantum networks. Epistemological boundaries are strictly delineated: TTC v3.2 is a minimalist unification, not a theory of everything. A systematic philosophical reflection, inspired by the democratizing ethos of the 1918 University Reform, frames the theory as a pathway to global scientific cooperation. The chapter concludes that TTC v3.2 transforms dual-use technologies (including those born in belligerent contexts) into instruments of peace, affirming that "Si Quieres Paz, Abre El Camino A La Ciencia"—If You Want Peace, Open the Path to Science.

Keywords: TTC v3.2, etherion superfluid, emergent gravity, cyclic cosmology, entropic optimization, Starship diplomacy, science for peace, University Reform.

Contents

1 Introduction: Consolidated Synthesis of TTC v3.2

The ten chapters of *Science For Peace* present a unified, falsifiable framework in which all observable phenomena emerge from a primordial superfluid of ultralight scalar bosons termed etherions. Chapter Ten synthesizes the complete model into a single, self-consistent structure while preserving the rigorous mathematical definitions, lemmas, and propositions developed across the preceding chapters. TTC v3.2 is not presented as a final "theory of everything" but as a minimalist, ontologically economical description that resolves major cosmological tensions (Hubble discrepancy, cusp-core problem, strong CP violation without axions) and offers concrete engineering applications for Starship and interplanetary infrastructure.

The theory maintains strict separation between empirical predictions and philosophical projections, ensuring full falsifiability.

Let $\mathbb{R}$ denote the real numbers, $\mathbb{R}^+$ the positive reals, $\mathbb{C}$ the complex numbers, and $\mathcal{M}$ a smooth, compact, orientable 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold with metric g of signature $(-,+,+,+)$. The Levi-Civita connection ∇ is torsion-free and metric-compatible. All fields are C^∞ unless stated.

2 Core Postulates and Fundamental Equations

2.1 The Etherion Field

Definition 2.1 (Etherion Field)**.** The etherion field is the map $\phi_e : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$, the unique solution to the Klein–Gordon equation:

$$(\Box_g + m_e^2)\phi_e = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\Box_g = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu$ and $m_e = (1.00 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-22}$ eV.
Domain: $\mathcal{M}$; *Codomain:* $\mathbb{R}$; *Mathematical space:* $L^2(\mathcal{M}, d\mu_g)$ with $d\mu_g = \sqrt{-\det g}\, d^4x$.
Hypothesis: $\mathcal{M}$ is geodesically complete.

2.2 Topological Restriction and Emergent Symmetries

The path integral is restricted to the dominant topological sector:

$$Z_{\text{top}} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_e\, \delta(L_{123} - 1/2)\, \delta(L_{12} - 1/2)\, e^{iS[\phi_e]}, \tag{2}$$

where $S[\phi_e]$ corresponds to the Mexican-hat potential $V(\phi_e) = \frac{\lambda}{4!}(\phi_e^2 - f_e^2)^2$, with $f_e \approx 250$ GeV.

This restriction yields emergent $\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge symmetries and fermionic matter.

2.3 Superfluid Regime and Gravitational Emergence

In the non-relativistic limit the field decomposes as

$$\phi_e(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_s}{m_e}}\, e^{iS/\hbar}, \tag{3}$$

obeying the Gross–Pitaevskii equation. The emergent gravitational gradient is

$$\Gamma_g(N, r) = \frac{GNm_e}{r^2}, \quad r > \ell_{\text{Pl}}. \tag{4}$$

The configurational entropic change is

$$\Delta S(N) = k_B \ln N, \quad k_B = 1.381 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K}. \tag{5}$$

Proposition 2.2 (Positivity of Entropic-Gravitational Product)**.** *Under Definitions* **??** *and* **??** *with $N \geq 2$ and $r > \ell_{Pl}$, it follows that $\Gamma_g(N, r) \cdot \Delta S(N) > 0$.*
Proof: Both quantities are positive by construction.

Proposition 2.2 (all chapters) guarantees $\Gamma_g \cdot \Delta S > 0$, providing the thermodynamic foundation for entropic corrections in methalox propulsion, thermal management, and radiation shielding.

3 Key Parameters and Self-Consistent Framework

The framework is fully self-consistent: the same etherion superfluid simultaneously accounts for (i) dark matter as Axion-Like Relict (ALR), (ii) dark energy as cosmic-phase superfluid, (iii) emergent gravity, and (iv) entropic optimizations in aerospace systems.

Table 1: Consolidated parameters of TTC v3.2 (synthesis of Chapters 1–10).

Parameter	**Value**	**Observational/Engineering Implication**
Etherion mass m_e	$(1.00 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-22}$ eV	LISA GW spectrum, ALR dark matter
Coherence scale f_e	≈ 250 GeV	HL-LHC, IAXO
Topological linking L_{123}, L_{12}	1/2	Emergent Standard Model
Cyclic period T_{cycle}	≈ 24.9 Gyr	CMB secondary peak ($\ell \approx 4200$–4500)
Superfluid density ρ_s	$\sim 10^{-27}$ kg/m^3	Entropic corrections ($\Delta I_{\text{sp}} \sim 10^{-50}$ s today)
Critical temperature T_c	$\sim 10^{-18}$ K (macroscopic)	Thermal stability via gravitational trapping

4 Predictive Framework 2026–2040

TTC v3.2 generates concrete, falsifiable predictions testable with Starship-deployed infrastructure:

- **2026–2028:** Starship flights enable orbital deployment of BEC-based quantum sensors to measure Γ_g deviations at 10^{-50} s level.
- **2028–2032:** CMB-S4 and LiteBIRD test secondary acoustic peak at $\ell \approx 4200$–4500.
- **2030s:** LISA detects peaked stochastic GW background from ALR parametric resonance.
- **2030–2035:** DUNE and nEXO test neutrino sector; IAXO/ADMX test $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$.
- **2035–2040:** Interplanetary quantum networks (Chapter 6) and hybrid radiation shields (Chapter 5) become operational.

All predictions maintain strict epistemological boundaries between physics and philosophy.

5 Domain of Validity and Epistemological Limits

TTC v3.2 is valid within the energy scale 10^{-22} eV $\lesssim E \lesssim$ 250 GeV and length scales from Planck length to gigaparsecs. It does not claim to resolve the measurement problem of quantum mechanics nor to derive the precise values of the Standard Model parameters beyond topological emergence. The theory is minimalist by design and explicitly acknowledges phenomena (e.g., black-hole information paradox at Planck scales) that lie outside its current domain. Its strength lies in falsifiability, not universality.

6 Philosophical Reflection and the Spirit of University Reform

The 1918 University Reform in Argentina proclaimed that knowledge must be democratized, open to all, and placed at the service of society rather than elite or military power. TTC v3.2 embodies this spirit: it emerges from an unfunded grassroots astronomy club and insists that the instruments required for its validation—Starship, cryogenic sensors, space interferometers—must be governed by principles of open science and international cooperation, not national interest or belligerence.

When we redirect dual-use technologies (methalox propulsion, stainless-steel structures, hypersonic-derived guidance) toward cosmic exploration, we enact the Reform's deepest ideal: science as a common good that builds bridges instead of walls.

7 Projection of Peace: Science as a Pathway to Global Cooperation

TTC v3.2 demonstrates that the same technological capabilities developed in belligerent contexts can be transformed into instruments of peace. Starship, quantum networks, and radiation-shielding systems become shared infrastructure for validating a cyclic, self-regulating universe in which cooperation, not conflict, unlocks the next frontier of physics.

We therefore proclaim, with the full weight of ten rigorously derived chapters:

"Si Quieres Paz, Abre El Camino A La Ciencia"

If You Want Peace, Open the Path to Science.

The etherion superfluid does not recognize borders. Gravitational waves carry no flags. The pursuit of cosmic understanding is, by its very nature, universal.

8 Conclusions

The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory (TTC v3.2) offers a minimalist, mathematically consistent, and empirically testable unification of particle physics, gravitation, and cosmology. Synthesized across ten chapters, it transforms validated aerospace systems into platforms for scientific diplomacy and provides a concrete pathway to peace through open, collaborative exploration of the universe.

TTC v3.2 does not pretend to be a final theory of everything. Its true contribution lies in demonstrating that rigorous science, grounded in curiosity and shared knowledge,

can redirect humanity's greatest technological achievements away from destruction and toward collective understanding and prosperity.

End War, End All Wars

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the Quilmes AstroClub community for sustained intellectual support and critical feedback throughout the development of TTC v3.2. This work was conducted independently without institutional funding, in the spirit of grassroots scientific inquiry.

Note on Institutional Context

Quilmes AstroClub is a non-profit children's astronomy club based in Buenos Aires, Argentina, operating entirely without institutional funding or financial support. This lack of resources prevents participation in formal peer-review processes and access to the high costs associated with experimental validation or academic publishing. The present work emerges from independent research conducted by Adrian G. Fernandez, who leads the club and views "Quilmes AstroClub" not merely as an educational initiative but as a conceptual seed—grounded in grassroots curiosity—where the deepest questions of cosmology begin. It is from such humble, unfunded origins that the greatest scientific curiosities often arise.

References

[1] Fernandez, A. G. (2026). *Science For Peace – Chapter Ten: Based on the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19100583

[2] Choquet-Bruhat, Y. (1952). Théorème d'existence pour certains systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. *Acta Mathematica*, *88*, 141–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392131

[3] Cramér, H. (1946). *Mathematical methods of statistics*. Princeton University Press.

[4] Dacorogna, B. (2008). *Direct methods in the calculus of variations* (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74642-3

[5] Lovelock, D. (1969). The uniqueness of the Einstein field equations in a general setting. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, *10*(3), 498–501. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1664872

[6] Stone, M. H. (1937). Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, *41*(3), 375–481. https://doi.org/10.2307/1989788

[7] Hui, L., Ostriker, J. P., Tremaine, S., & Witten, E. (2017). Ultralight scalars as cosmological dark matter. *Physical Review D*, *95*(4), 043541. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.043541

[8] Gross, E. P. (1961). Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, *20*(3), 454–477. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02731494

[9] Noether, E. (1918). Invariante Variationsprobleme. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, 235–257.

[10] Boltzmann, L. (1877). Über die Beziehung zwischen dem zweiten Hauptsatze der mechanischen Wärmetheorie und der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung. *Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien.*

[11] Hahn, H. (1927). Über lineare Gleichungssysteme in linearen Räumen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, *157*, 214–229.

[12] Weierstrass, K. (1885). Über die analytische Darstellbarkeit sogenannter willkürlicher Funktionen einer reellen Veränderlichen. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 633–639.